

**REMARKS**

In response to the restriction requirement made within the Office Action dated June 5, 2009. Applicants, through its representative and attorney, hereby provisionally elect, without traverse, the invention of Group I, claims 1-6, for further prosecution.

Furthermore, Applicant has canceled claims 1-6 and added claims 12-20, which are reflected in the listing of the claims.

Please note that Applicants reserve the right to file divisional application(s) covering the subject matter of the non-elected Group II, claims 7-11, which are also canceled with this amendment.

For the foregoing reasons, all the claims now pending in the present application are allowable, and the present application is in condition for allowance. An early Action on the merits of this application is additionally respectfully requested.

This response is believed to be a complete response to the Office Action. However, Applicants reserve the right to set forth further arguments supporting the patentability of their claims, including the separate patentability of the dependent claims not explicitly addressed herein, in future papers. Further, for any instances in which the Examiner took Official Notice in the Office Action, Applicants expressly do not acquiesce to the taking of Official Notice, and respectfully request that the Examiner provide an affidavit to support the Official Notice taken in the next Office Action, as required by 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2) and MPEP § 2144.03.


Application No. 10/567,924  
Amendment dated July 2, 2009  
Reply to Office Action of June 5, 2009

Docket No.: TEI-0136

Applicants believe no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. TEI-0126 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: July 2, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Maulin M. Patel

Registration No.: 56,029  
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC  
Correspondence Customer Number: 23353  
Attorney for Applicants